

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



polymer

Polymer 45 (2004) 1735-1738

www.elsevier.com/locate/polymer

Polymer Communication

Electrochemical behavior of poly(3-hexylthiophene). Controlling factors of electric current in electrochemical oxidation of poly(3-hexylthiophene)s in a solution

Takakazu Yamamoto*, Yuichi Honda, Takao Sata, Hisashi Kokubo

Chemical Resources Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan Received 20 June 2003; received in revised form 3 December 2003; accepted 14 December 2003

Abstract

Electrochemical response of regio-random and regio-controlled poly(3-hexylthiophene), P3HexTh, was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. P3HexTh underwent electrochemical oxidation at about 0.4 V vs. Ag⁺/Ag in a THF solution, and the peak anode electric current, i_{pa} , was proportional to the sweeping rate v; $i_{pa} = const \times v^{1/2}$. These data indicated that diffusion of the P3HexTh molecule in the solution was important to determine i_{pa} . Application of a Matsuda's equation with assumptions gave a diffusion coefficient, D, of about 1×10^{-7} cm² s⁻¹ at molecular weight of about 5000, and the D value steeply decreased with increase in the molecular weight. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Poly(3-hexylthiophene); Electrochemical response; Diffusion

1. Introduction

Electrochemically active polymers such as π -conjugated polymers [1-3] are the subject of recent interest. Electrochemical responses of such polymers have actively been studied to reveal basic electronic states of the polymers and to develop electrochemical devices such as sensors of biomaterials, electrochromic polymer device, and battery [4-12]. These researches, however, have mainly carried out with polymer films laid on electrodes, and researches using solutions of the polymers have been limited.

Previously we measured cyclic voltammograms (CVs) for electrochemical oxidation of main-chain type polyferrocenes consisting of ferrocene units connected by π conjugated organic bridges, e.g.



in solutions [13,14], and reported that the peak anodic

current, i_{pa} , was proportional to the square root of the scanning rate, $v^{1/2}$. This result suggested that diffusion of the electrochemically active polymer in the solution was important to determine i_{pa} in the CV process.

As an extension of the study, we examined CV behavior of poly(3-hexylthiophene), P3HexTh, in a solution, and now report the results.



In the case of P3HexTh, the π -conjugated system along the polymer chain is expanded to a higher degree than that of the ferrocene-based polymers, and P3HexTh will give more direct information about electrochemical behavior of the π -conjugated polymer in the solution.

2. Experimental part

2.1. Materials

Regio-random poly(3-hexylthiophene), P3HexTh(Fe),

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-45-924-5220; fax: +81-45-924-5276. *E-mail address:* tyamamot@res.titech.ac.jp (T. Yamamoto).

^{0032-3861/}\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2003.12.061

was prepared by oxidative polymerization of 3-hexylthiophene with FeCl₃, according to the literature [15]. Regio-regular head-to-tail type poly(3-hexylthiophene), HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni), which was prepared via organometallic polycondensation using a zinc compound and nickel complex [16], was purchased from Rieke Metals Inc. P3HexTh(Fe) and HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni) were fractionated into several parts with different M_n (number average molecular weight) and M_w (weight average molecular weight) values, according to solubility of the polymers in chloroform (solubility = high), methylene chloride (solubility = medium), THF (solubility = medium), hexane (solubility = low), and methanol (solubility = poor) [17], in manners similar to that previously applied [18]. $M_{\rm n}$ and $M_{\rm w}$ values were obtained by GPC (eluent = chloroform) by using a Shimadzu LC-9A liquid chromatograph (vs. polystyrene standards).

2.2. Measurements

The CV measurements were carried out by using a dry THF (5 cm³) solution containing P3HexTh (2.0×10^{-3} M, based on the repeating monomeric unit) and tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate [NBu₄]BF₄ (0.10 M) under N₂ atmosphere. A Hokuto Denko HABF501 potentiostat/gal-vanostat was used for the CV measurement at room temperature (about 25 °C). A platinum plate ($\phi = 1.6$ mm; area = 0.020 cm²), a platinum wire, and Ag⁺/Ag were used as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

Fractionation of regio-random P3HexTh(Fe) and regioregular P3HexTh(Zn/Ni) gave the fractions listed in Table 1. The results shown in Table 1 reveal a trend that regioregularity of both P3HexTh(Fe) and P3HexTh(Zn/Ni) increases with increase in the molecular weight of the polymer. This seems to indicate that the regio-selection during the polymerization occurs more effectively after the propagating polymer species obtains certain chain length, and suggests that formation of a π -stacked crystalline assembly between regio-regular segments [16,17,19,20] is enhanced after the polymer attains the enough chain length and this brings about the effective regio-selection in the polymerization.

Fig. 1 shows examples of the CV charts. As seen in Fig. 1, the oxidation (or p-doping) of P3HexThs took place with a peak anodic potential E_{pa} , at about 0.4–0.5 V vs. Ag⁺/Ag, and this oxidation potential is comparable to those observed with films of polythiophenes [1–3,21,22]. The corresponding p-dedoping peak appears at E_{pc} = about 0.25 V vs. Ag⁺/Ag, similar to cases of films of polythiophenes [1–3,21,22]. These results indicate that the electrochemical p-doping or oxidation of P3HexTh in the solution can also be

No	Fraction ^a	M _n	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$	HT-content (%) ^b	$\lambda_{\rm max} \ ({\rm nm})^6$
	Fe-1	5000	1.60	75	437
	Fe-2	10,000	1.40	75	437
	Fe-3	17,000	1.47	78	439
	Fe-4	21,000	1.52	81	441
	Fe-5	23,000	1.74	83	442
	Fe-6	33,000	2.61	83	442
	Fe-7	34,000	2.62	85	443
	Zn/Ni-1	3000	1.33	81	433
	Zn/Ni-2	9000	1.67	91	446
	Zn/Ni-3	9000	1.56	91	447
	Zn/Ni-4	14,000	1.86	94	449
	Zn/Ni-5	25.000	1.80	97	452

Table I			
Fractionation	of P3HexTh(Fe)	and HT-P3Hex	Th(Zn/Ni)

^a Fe: P3HexTh(Fe). Zn/Ni: HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni).

33,000

^b Calculated based on the peaks at $\delta 2.80$ (HT-unit) and 2.58 (HH-unit) of the α -CH₂ group [16]. HT-content = (peak area of the peak at $\delta 2.80$)/(peak area of the peak at $\delta 2.80$ + peak area of the peak at $\delta 2.58$).

98

452

1.76

^c In chloroform.

Zn/Ni-6



Fig. 1. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) P3HexTh(Fe) (Fe-4 in Table 1) and (b) HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni) (Zn/Ni-4) dissolved in a THF solution of $[NBu_4]BF_4$ (0.10 M). At scanning velocity (ν) of 30, 50, 100, and 150 m V s⁻¹. The inset depicts the dependence of the peak anode current, i_{pa} , on $\nu^{1/2}$. Working electrode = Pt plate (area = 0.020 cm²).

expressed as:

$$(1)$$

The p-doping potential seems to be affected by effective π conjugation length in the polymer, which is also considered
to be reflected in the λ_{max} positions listed in Table 1.

When P3HexTh has a higher HT-content, the effective π conjugation length in the polymer is considered to be longer due to less steric repulsion between the hexyl groups at a head-to-head junction in the polymer [23]. Higher molecular weight will also contribute to a longer π -conjugation length. The longer effective π -conjugation will give a lower LUMO and a higher HOMO levels [24] to afford the λ_{max} position at a longer wavelength and a lower oxidation or pdoping potential. The λ_{max} data listed in Table 1 agree with the view described above, and the p-doping potential also shifts agreeing with the view. The Fractions Zn/Ni-1 and Fe-1 (cf. Table 1) showing at a shorter wavelength gave the $E'_{\rm pa}$ s at 0.53 and 0.46 V vs. Ag⁺/Ag, respectively. On the other hand, the other fractions exhibited E_{pa} at a lower potential of 0.40-0.43 V vs. Ag⁺/Ag (cf. Fig. 1) in accord with the discussion given above.

Since polythiophenes usually undergo chemical and electrochemical oxidation to accept one-electron oxidation per about 5 units of the thiophene ring [21,22], the doping level, x in Eq. (1), is assumed to be 0.2 in the present electrochemical reaction.

The linear correlation between i_{pa} and $v^{1/2}$, which is shown in the insets in Fig. 1, suggests that diffusion of the P3HexTh molecule to the surface area of the platinum electrode is an important factor to determine i_{pa} , similar to cases of electrochemical reactions of low molecular compounds.

If one applies the following Matsuda's equation [25,26] for i_{pa} (in A)

$$i_{\rm pa} = 0.4463 \times 10^{-3} \times n^{3/2} F^{3/2} A(RT)^{1/2} D^{1/2} C v^{1/2}$$
(2)

or

$$i_{\rm pa} = 269An^{3/2}D^{1/2}Cv^{1/2}$$
 at 298K (3)

(*A* = area of the electrode (in cm²) = 0.020 cm² for the present case; *n* = number of electrons participated in the electrochemical reaction when the P3HexTh molecule reaches the platinum electrode; *D* = diffusion coefficient (in cm² s⁻¹); *C* = number concentration (in M) of the electrochemically active species (P3HexTh); *v* = scanning velocity (in V s⁻¹)), the diffusion cofficient, *D*, can be evaluated from the CV data shown in Fig. 1.

For the present study, the concentration of the monomeric 3-alkylthiophene unit was 2×10^{-3} M. Consequently, the *C* value in Eq. (3) was calculated by the following equation; $C = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \times (166/M_n)$ or $(2.0 \times 10^{-3})/dp$ (dp = degree of polymerization; 166 = molecular weight of the monomeric unit). Based on the above described doping level, *x* of 0.2 in Eq. (1), *n* was calculated as $0.2(M_n/166)$.

Based on the assumptions described above, the diffusion coefficient, *D*, is calculated from Eq. (3) and i_{pa} (or the slope of the line given in the inset of Fig. 1), and Fig. 2 depicts plots of the log *D* value against log M_n . As seen in Fig. 2, a roughly linear correlation is observed between log *D* and log M_n in a M_n range of about 3000–17,000. The data points of P3HexTh(Fe) and HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni) seem to fall on the same line. The regioregular, high molecular weight polymers, Zn/Ni-5 and Zn/Ni-6 listed in Table 1, seemed to cause electrochemical deposition of the polymer on the Pt plate due to decrease in the solubility after the p-doping [27], and the data for these two polymers were omitted in the i_{pa} vs. $v^{1/2}$ plots.

Diffusion coefficients of polymers such as polyacrylonitrile and polystyrene in solutions have been reported [28–33], and linear correlations between log *D* and log *M* have been observed. However, estimation of the *D* value of electrically conducting π -conjugated polymer and dependence of the *D* value on M_n have not been reported to our knowledge.

The observed slope of about -1 for the straight line in Fig. 2 is considerably larger than those (e.g. slope = about -0.5 for polystyrene [28–30,33]) observed with usual polymers. The slope has been related to stiffness of the polymer molecule in the solution [28–33], and the negatively large slope observed with P3HexTh implies that P3HexTh assumes a stiffer molecular structure than usual polymers presumably due to the all heteroaromatic main chain.

Light scattering analysis of regioregular P3HexTh with a high HT content and respective M_n and M_w values of 17,000



Fig. 2. Dependence of the diffusion constant, D, on the M_n value. \bigcirc : data for P3HexTh(Fe) \Box : data for HT-P3HexTh(Zn/Ni).

and 28,000 (determined by GPC) [34] indicates that the polymer has a stiff structure even in a good solvent (chloroform) with a very large ρ_v (degree of depolarization value) of 0.27 and a radius of gyration R_g of 36 nm and a hydrodynamic radius R_h of 25 nm in the good solvent (chloroform) [17]. These data support a view that the polymer has a similar or stiffer structure, compare with all aromatic *p*-phenylene type polyamides such as Kevler which shows a ρ_v value of about 0.1 [35], and a persistence length of about 30 nm has been estimated for the regioregular P3HexTh from the light scattering data [36].

The *D* value of 10^{-7} cm² s⁻¹ at M_n of about 3000 (Fig. 2) is by one order of magnitude smaller than those observed with usual polymers such as polystyrene and glycoproteins [28-30,31] in various solutions. This also seems to suggest that P3HexTh assumes a stiffer structure, although further investigation to evaluate the M_n value (e.g. by ultracentrifugal analysis of molecular weight [32]) may be necessitated in future; the data obtained in the ultracentrifugal analysis has been directly correlated with the diffusion coefficient [32]. In Fig. 2, a steep decrease in the $\log D$ value is observed in the larger $M_{\rm p}$ region. The reason for this is not clear now. However, molecular assembly of P3HexTh [17] in the THF solution to increase the effective mass of the polymer is conceivable. The GPC analysis was carried out with a dynamically flowing solution, whereas the diffusion in the electrochemical process takes place in a non-flowing medium where the molecular assembly is considered to occur more easily. The increase in the regioregularity with the increase in M_n (vide ante) seems to assist the molecular assembly to increase the effective mass.

As described above, the dependence of i_{pa} in the electrochemical reaction of P3HexTh was for the first time analyzed. The i_{pa} value increased proportionally with $v^{1/2}$, and the diffusion coefficient obtained on the basis of the electrochemical equation, Eq. (2), was discussed. The obtained results are considered to contribute to better understanding of electrochemically active P3HexTh.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Professor K. Kubota of Gunma University for helpful discussion about stiffness of P3HexTh.

References

- Nalwa HS, editor. Organic conductive molecules and polymers, vol. 2. Chichester: Wiley; 1997.
- [2] Kuzmany H, Mehring M, Roth S, editors. Electronic properties of polymers. Berlin: Springer; 1992.

- [3] Skotheim TA, Elsenbaumer RL, Reynolds JR, editors. Handbook of conductive polymers, 2nd ed. New York: Dekker; 1997.
- [4] MacInnes Jr D, Druy MA, Nigrey PJ, Nairns DP, MacDiarmid AG, Heeger AJ. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1981;31.
- [5] Schacklette LW, Elsenbaumer RL, Chance RR, Sowa JM, Ivory DM, Miller GG, Baughmann RH. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1982;361.
- [6] Yamamoto T. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1981;187.
- [7] Osaka T, Naoi K. Bull Chem Soc Jpn 1986;59:2717.
- [8] Hirabayashi T, Naoi K, Osaka T. J Electrochem Soc 1987;134:758.
- [9] Osaka T, Komaba S, Fujino Y, Matsuda T, Satoh I. J Electrochem Soc 1999;146:615.
- [10] Hoier SN, Ginley DS, Park SM. J Electrochem Soc 1988;135:91.
- [11] Zotti G, Randi A, Destri S, Porzio W, Schiavon G. Chem Mater 2002; 14:4550.
- [12] Babacha J, Ivaska A, Lewenstam A. Electroanalysis 2003;15:366.
- [13] Yamamoto T, Morikita T, Maruyama T, Kubota K, Katada M. Macromolecules 1997;30:5390.
- [14] Morikita T, Yamamoto T. J Organomet Chem 2001;637-639:809.
- [15] Sugimoto T, Takeda S, Yoshino K. Chem Express 1986;1:635.
- [16] Chen TA, Wu X, Rieke RD. J Am Chem Soc 1995;117:233.
- [17] Yamamoto T, Komarudin D, Lee BL, Suganuma H, Asakawa N, Inoue Y, Kubota K, Sasaki S, Fukuda T. Matsuda. J Am Chem Soc 1998;120:2047.
- [18] Yamamoto T, Oguro D, Kubota K. Macromolecules 1996;29:1833.
- [19] Yamamoto T, Arai M, Kokubo H, Sasaki S. J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem 2003;41:1449.
- [20] Yamamoto T, Arai M, Kokubo H, Sasaki H. Macromolecules 2003; 36:7986.
- [21] Yamamoto T, Kanbara T, Mori C, Wakayama H, Fukuda T, Inoue T, Sasaki S. J Phys Chem 1996;100:12631.
- [22] Yamamoto T, Morita A, Miyazaki Y, Maruyama T, Wakayama H, Zhou ZH, Nakamura Y, Kanbara T. Macromolecules 1992;25:1214.
- [23] McCullough RD, Lowe RD, Jayaraman M, Anderson DL. J Org Chem 1993;58:904.
- [24] Streitwieser JrA. Molecular orbital theory for organic chemists. New York: Wiley; 1961.
- [25] Matsuda H, Ayabe Y. Z Electrochem 1955;59:494.
- [26] Bard AJ, Faulkner LR. Electrochemical methods: fundamentals and application. New York: Wiley; 1982.
- [27] Kokubo H, Kuroda S, Sasaki S, Yamamoto T. Jpn J Appl Phys 2001; 40:L228.
- [28] King TA, Knox A, Lee WI, McAdam JDG. Polymer 1973;14:151.
- [29] King TA, Knox A, McAdam JDG. Polymer 1973;14:293.
- [30] Mandema W, Zeldenrust H. Polymer 1977;18:835.
- [31] McDonnell ME, Jamieson AM. Biopolymer 1976;15:1283.
- [32] Flory PJ. Principle of polymer chemistry. Ithca: Cornell University Press; 1953.
- [33] Kobunshi Jikkengaku, vol. 11, Kobunshi Yoeki, Kyoritsu Syuppan, Tokyo; 1982.
- [34] Light scattering analysis of the HT-P3HexTh (the head-to-tail type P3HexTh in chloroform gave a higher M_w of 160,000, suggesting loose aggregation in the solution. By heating at 60 °C for 30 min, the M_w became 85,000 presumably due to dissociation of the loosely aggregated molecules. Addition of poor solvents such as methanol to the chloroform solution of HT-P3HexTh caused formation of a colloidal particle which included about 10³ polymer molecules, however, the R_g and R_h value increased to only 42 and 48 nm, respectively, to support a view that the linear stiff HT-P3HexTh molecules formed a colloidal particle with a side-by-side packed structure without slipping [17].
- [35] Zero K, Akaroni SM. Macromolecules 1987;20:1957.
- [36] Kubota K, Yamamoto T. Unpublished results.